

REMARKS ON NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS

FERNANDO TORRES

ABSTRACT. We extend results on Weierstrass semigroups at ramified points of double covering of curves to any numerical semigroup whose genus is large enough. As an application we strengthen the properties concerning Weierstrass weights stated in [To].

0. INTRODUCTION

Let H be a numerical semigroup, that is, a subsemigroup of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ whose complement is finite. Examples of such semigroups are the Weierstrass semigroups at non-singular points of algebraic curves.

In this paper we deal with the following type of semigroups:

Definition 0.1. Let $\gamma \geq 0$ an integer. H is called γ -hyperelliptic if the following conditions hold:

- (E_1) H has γ even elements in $[2, 4\gamma]$.
- (E_2) The $(\gamma + 1)$ th positive element of H is $4\gamma + 2$.

A 0-hyperelliptic semigroup is usually called hyperelliptic.

The motivation for study of such semigroups comes from the study of Weierstrass semigroups at ramified points of double coverings of curves. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be a double covering of projective, irreducible, non-singular algebraic curves over an algebraically closed field k . Let g and γ be the genus of X and $\tilde{X}$ respectively. Assume that there exists $P \in X$ which is ramified for π , and denote by m_i the i th non-gap at P . Then the Weierstrass semigroup $H(P)$ at P satisfies the following properties (cf. [To], [To1, Lemma 3.4]):

- (P_1) $H(P)$ is γ -hyperelliptic, provided $g \geq 4\gamma + 1$ if $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$, and $g \geq 6\gamma - 3$ otherwise.
- (P_2) $m_{2\gamma+1} = 6\gamma + 2$, provided $g \geq 5\gamma + 1$.
- (P_3) $m_{\frac{g}{2}-\gamma-1} = g - 2$ or $m_{\frac{g-1}{2}-\gamma} = g - 1$, provided $g \geq 4\gamma + 2$.
- (P_4) The weight $w(P)$ of $H(P)$ satisfies

$$\binom{g-2\gamma}{2} \leq w(P) < \binom{g-2\gamma+2}{2}.$$

Conversely if g is large enough and if any of the above properties is satisfied, then X is a double covering of a curve of genus γ . A posteriori the four above properties become equivalent whenever g is large enough.

The goal of this paper is to extend these results for any semigroup H such that $g(H) := \#(\mathbb{N} \setminus H)$ is large enough. We remark that there exist semigroups of genus large enough that cannot be realized as Weierstrass semigroups (see [Buch1], [To, Scholium 3.5]).

The key tool used to prove these equivalences is Theorem 1.10 in Freiman's book [Fre] which have to do with addition of finite sets. From this theorem we deduce Corollary

The author is supported by a grant from the International Atomic Energy Agency and UNESCO.

2.6 which can be considered as analogous to Castelnuovo's genus bound for curves in projective spaces ([C], [ACGH, p.116], [R, Corollary 2.8]). Castelnuovo's result is the key tool to deal with Weierstrass semigroups. This Corollary can also be proved by means of properties of addition of residue classes (see Remark 2.8).

In §2 we prove the equivalences $(P_1) \Leftrightarrow (P_2) \Leftrightarrow (P_3)$. The equivalence $(P_1) \Leftrightarrow (P_2)$ is proved under the hypothesis $g(H) \geq 6\gamma + 4$, while $(P_1) \Leftrightarrow (P_3)$ is proved under $g(H) = 6\gamma + 5$ or $g(H) \geq 6\gamma + 8$. In both cases the bounds on $g(H)$ are sharp (Remark 2.9). We mention that the cases $\gamma \in \{1, 2\}$ of $(P_1) \Leftrightarrow (P_3)$ were fixed by Kato [K2, Lemmas 4,5,6,7].

In §3 we deal with the equivalence $(P_1) \Leftrightarrow (P_4)$. To this purpose we determine bounds for the weight $w(H)$ of the semigroup H , which is defined by

$$w(H) := \sum_{i=1}^g (\ell_i - i),$$

where $g := g(H)$ and $\mathbb{N} \setminus H = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_g\}$. It is well known that $0 \leq w(H) \leq \binom{g}{2}$; clearly $w(H) = 0 \Leftrightarrow H = \{g + i : i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$, and one can show that $w(H) = \binom{g}{2} \Leftrightarrow H$ is $\mathbb{N}$, or $g(H) \geq 1$ and H is hyperelliptic (see e.g. [F-K, Corollary III.5.7]). Associated to H we have the number

$$(0.1) \quad \rho = \rho(H) := \{\ell \in \mathbb{N} \setminus H : \ell \text{ even}\}.$$

In [To, Lemma 2.3] it has been shown that $\rho(H)$ is the unique number γ satisfying (E_1) of Definition 0.1, and

$$(E'_2) \quad 4\gamma + 2 \in H.$$

Thus we observe the following:

Lemma 0.2. *Let H be a γ -hyperelliptic semigroup. Then*

$$\rho(H) = \gamma.$$

We also observe that if $g(H) \geq 1$, then H is hyperelliptic if and only if $\rho(H) = 0$. In general, $\rho(H)$ affects the values of $w(H)$. Let us assume that $\rho(H) \geq 1$ (hence $w(H) < \binom{g}{2}$); then we find

$$\binom{g - 2\rho}{2} \leq w(H) \leq \begin{cases} \binom{g - 2\rho}{2} + 2\rho^2 & \text{if } g \geq 6\rho + 5 \\ \frac{g(g-1)}{3} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(see Lemmas 3.1.2 and 3.1.4). These bounds strengthen results of Kato [K1, Thm.1] and Oliveira [Oliv, p.435] (see Remark 3.2.3). From this result we prove $(P_1) \Leftrightarrow (P_4)$ (Theorem 3.2.2) under the hypothesis

$$(0.2) \quad g(H) \geq \begin{cases} \max\{12\gamma - 1, 1\} & \text{if } \gamma \in \{0, 1, 2\}, \\ 11\gamma + 1 & \text{if } \gamma \in \{3, 5\}, \\ \frac{21(\gamma-4)+88}{2} & \text{if } \gamma \in \{4, 6\}, \\ \gamma^2 + 4\gamma + 3 & \text{if } \gamma \geq 7. \end{cases}$$

The cases $\gamma \in \{1, 2\}$ of that equivalence was fixed by Garcia (see [G]). In this section we use ideas from Garcia's [G, Proof of Lemma 8] and Kato's [K1, p. 144].

In §1 we recollect some arithmetical properties of numerical semigroups. We mainly remark the influence of $\rho(H)$ on H .

It is a pleasure to thank Pablo Azcue and Gustavo T. de A. Moreira for discussions about §2.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper we use the following notation

- semigroup: numerical semigroup.
- Let H be a semigroup. The *genus* of H is the number $g(H) := \#(\mathbb{N} \setminus H)$, which throughout this article will be assumed bigger than 0. The positive elements of H will be called the *non-gaps* of H , and those of $G(H) := \mathbb{N} \setminus H$ will be called the *gaps* of H . We denote by $m_i(H)$ the i th non-gap of H . If a semigroup is generated by $m, n, \dots$ we denote $H = \langle m, n, \dots \rangle$.
- $[x]$ stands for the integer part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

In this section we recall some arithmetical properties of semigroups. Let H be a semigroup of genus g . Set $m_j := m_j(H)$ for each j . If $m_1 = 2$ then $m_i = 2i$ for $i = 1, \dots, g$. Let $m_i \geq 3$. By the semigroup property of H the first g non-gaps satisfy the following inequalities:

$$(1.1) \quad m_i \geq 2i + 1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, g-2, \quad m_{g-1} \geq 2g-2, \quad m_g = 2g$$

(see [Buch], [Oliv, Thm.1.1]).

Let ρ be as in (0.1). From [To1, Lemma 2.3] we have that

$$(1.2) \quad \{4\rho + 2i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq H.$$

From the definition of ρ , H has ρ odd non-gaps in $[1, 2g-1]$. Let denote by

$$u_\rho < \dots < u_1$$

such non-gaps.

Lemma 1.1. *Let H be a semigroup of genus g , and ρ the number of even gaps of H . Then*

$$2g \geq 3\rho.$$

Proof. Let us assume that $g \leq 2\rho-1$. From $u_1 \leq 2g-1$ we have $u_{2\rho-g+1} \leq 4g-4\rho-1$. Let ℓ be the biggest even gap of H . Then $\ell \leq 4g-4\rho$. For suppose that $\ell \geq 4g-4\rho+2$. Thus $\ell - u_{2\rho-g+1} \geq 3$, and then H would have $g-\rho+1$ odd gaps, namely $1, \ell - u_{2\rho-g+1}, \dots, \ell - u_\rho$, a contradiction. Now since in $[2, 4g-4\rho]$ there are $2g-2\rho$ even numbers such that ρ of them are gaps, the lemma follows. $\square$

Denote by $f_i := f_i(H)$ the i th even non-gap of H . Hence by (1.2) we have

$$(1.3) \quad H = \langle f_1, \dots, f_\rho, 4\rho+2, u_\rho, \dots, u_1 \rangle.$$

Observe that $f_\rho = 4\rho$, and

$$(1.4) \quad f_{g-\rho} = 2g.$$

By [To1, Lemma 2.1] and since $g \geq 1$ we have

$$(1.5) \quad u_\rho \geq \max\{2g-4\rho+1, 3\}.$$

In particular, if $g \geq 4\rho$ we obtain

$$(1.6) \quad m_1 = f_1, \dots, m_\rho = f_\rho.$$

Note that (1.5) is only meaningful for $g \geq 2\rho$. For $g \leq 2\rho - 1$ we have:

Lemma 1.2. *Let H be a semigroup of genus g , and ρ the number of even gaps of H . If $g \leq 2\rho - 1$, then*

$$u_\rho \geq 4\rho - 2g + 1.$$

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 1.1 we have that H has $2g - 3\rho$ even non-gaps in $[2, 4g - 4\rho]$. Consider the following sequence of even non-gaps:

$$2u_\rho < \dots < u_\rho + u_{4\rho - 2g}.$$

Since in this sequence we have $2g - 3\rho + 1$ even non-gaps, then

$$u_\rho + u_{4\rho - 2g} \geq 4g - 4\rho + 2.$$

Now, since $u_{4\rho - 2g} \leq 6g - 8\rho + 1$ the proof follows. $\square$

2. γ -HYPERELLIPTIC SEMIGROUPS

In this section we deal with properties (P_1) , (P_2) and (P_3) stated in §0. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ set

$$d_i(H) := \gcd(m_1(H), \dots, m_i(H)).$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$, H a semigroup of genus $g \geq 6\gamma + 4$ if $\gamma \geq 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) H is γ -hyperelliptic.
- (ii) $m_{2\gamma+1}(H) = 6\gamma + 2$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let γ and H be as in Theorem 2.1, and assume that $g \geq 1$ if $\gamma = 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) H is t -hyperelliptic for some $t \in \{0, \dots, \gamma\}$.
- (ii) $m_{2\gamma+1}(H) \leq 6\gamma + 2$.
- (iii) $\rho(H) \leq \gamma$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$, H a semigroup of genus $g = 6\gamma + 5$ or $g \geq 6\gamma + 7$. Set $r := [(g+1)/2] - \gamma - 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) H is γ -hyperelliptic.
- (ii) $m_r(H) = g - 2$ if g is even; $m_r(H) = g - 1$ if g is odd.
- (iii) $m_r(H) \leq g - 1 < m_{r+1}(H)$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let γ , H and r be as in Theorem 2.3. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) H is t -hyperelliptic for some $t \in \{0, \dots, \gamma\}$.
- (ii) $m_r(H) \leq g - 2$ if g is even; $m_r(H) \leq g - 1$ if g is odd.
- (iii) $m_r(H) \leq g - 1$.
- (iv) $\rho(H) \leq \gamma$.

To prove these results we need a particular case of the result below. For K a subset of a group we set $2K := \{a + b : a, b \in K\}$.

Lemma 2.5 ([Fre, Thm. 1.10]). *Let $K = \{0 < m_1 < \dots < m_i\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\gcd(m_1, \dots, m_i) = 1$. If $m_i \geq i + 1 + b$, where b is an integer satisfying $0 \leq b < i - 1$, then*

$$\#2K \geq 2i + 2 + b.$$

□

Corollary 2.6. *Let H be a semigroup of genus g , and $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If*

$$d_i(H) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad i \leq g + 1,$$

then we have

$$2m_i(H) \geq m_{3i-1}(H).$$

Proof. Let $K := \{0, m_1(H), \dots, m_i(H)\}$. Then by (1.1), we can apply Lemma 2.5 to K with $b = i - 2$. □

Remark 2.7. Both the hypothesis $d_i(H) = 1$ and $i \leq g + 1$ of the corollary above are necessities. Moreover the conclusion of that result is sharp:

- (i) Let $i = g + h$, $h \geq 2$. Then $2m_{g+h} = m_{3i-h}$.
- (ii) Let $m_1 = 4$, $m_2 = 6$ and $m_3 = 8$. Then $d_3 = 2$ and $2m_3 = m_7$.
- (iii) Let $m_1 = 5$, $m_2 = 10$, $m_3 = 15$, $m_4 = 18$, $m_5 = 20$. Then $2m_6 = m_{14}$.

Remark 2.8. (i) The Corollary above can also be proved by using results on the addition of residue classes: let H and i be as in 2.6; assume further that $2 \leq i \leq g - 2$ (the remaining cases are easy to prove), and consider $\tilde{K} := \{m_1, \dots, m_i\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$ (i.e. a subset of the integers modulus m_i). Let $N := \#2\tilde{K}$. Then it is easy to see that

$$2m_i \geq m_{i+N}.$$

Consequently we have a proof of the above Corollary provided $N \geq 2i - 1$ (*). Since $m_i \geq 2i + 1$ (see (1.1)), we get (*) provided m_i prime (Cauchy [Dav1], Davenport [Dav], [M, Corollary 1.2.3]), or provided $\gcd(m_j, m_i) = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, i - 1$ (Chowla [Lan, Satz 114], [M, Corollary 1.2.4]). In general by using the hypothesis $d_i(H) = 1$ we can reduce the proof of the Corollary to the case $\gcd(m_{i-1}, m_i) = 1$. Then we apply Pillai's [Pi, Thm 1] generalization of Davenport and Chowla results (or Mann's result [M, Corollary 1.2.2]).

(ii) Let H and i be as above and assume that $2m_i = m_{3i-1}$. Then from (i) we have $N = \#2\tilde{K} = 2i - 1$. Thus by Kemperman [Kem, Thm 2.1] (or by [Fre, Thm. 1.11]) $2\tilde{K}$ satisfies one of the following conditions: (1) there exist $m, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$, such that $2\tilde{K} = \{m + dj : j = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$, or (2) there exists a subgroup F of $\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$ of order ≥ 2 , such that $2\tilde{K}$ is the disjoint union of a non-empty set C satisfying $C + F = C$, and a set C' satisfying $C' \subseteq c + F$ for some $c \in C'$. For instance example (iii) of 2.7 satisfies property (2).

Set $m_j := m_j(H)$ for each j .

Proof. (Theorem 2.1). By definition H is hyperelliptic if and only if $m_1 = 2$. So let us assume that $\gamma \geq 1$.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): From Lemma 0.2 and (1.5) we find that $u_\gamma \geq 6\gamma + 3$ if $g \geq 5\gamma + 1$. Then (ii) follows from (1.6) and (1.2).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): We claim that $d_{2\gamma+1}(H) = 2$. For suppose that $d_{2\gamma+1}(H) \geq 3$. Then $6\gamma + 2 = m_{2\gamma+1} \geq m_1 + 6\gamma$ and so $m_1 \leq 2$, a contradiction. Hence $d_{2\gamma+1}(H) \leq 2$. Now suppose that $d_{2\gamma+1}(H) = 1$. Then Corollary 2.6 implies

$$2(6\gamma + 2) = 2m_{2\gamma+1} \geq m_{6\gamma+2}.$$

But, since $g - 2 \geq 6\gamma + 2$, by (1.1) we would have

$$m_{6\gamma+2} \geq 2(6\gamma + 2) + 1$$

which leads to a contradiction. This shows that $d_{2\gamma+1}(H) = 2$. Now since $m_{2\gamma+1} = 6\gamma + 2$ we have that $m_\gamma \leq 4\gamma$. Moreover, there exist γ even gaps of H in $[2, 6\gamma + 2]$. Let ℓ be an even gap of H . The proof follows from the following claim:

Claim. $\ell < m_\gamma$.

Proof. (Claim). Suppose that there exists an even gap ℓ such that $\ell > m_\gamma$. Take the smallest ℓ with such a property; then the following γ even gaps: $\ell - m_\gamma < \dots, \ell - m_1$ belong to $[2, m_\gamma]$. Thus, since $m_1 > 2$, we must have $\ell - m_\gamma = 2$. This implies that H has $\gamma + 1$ even non-gaps in $[2, 6\gamma + 2]$, namely $\ell - m_\gamma, \dots, \ell - m_1, \ell$, a contradiction. $\square$

This finish the proof of Theorem 2.1. $\square$

Proof. (Theorem 2.2). The case $\gamma = 0$ is trivial; so let assume $\gamma \geq 1$.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Since $g \geq 5\gamma + 1 \geq 5t + 1$ by Theorem 2.1 we have $m_{2t+1} = 6t + 2$. Thus (ii) follows from Lemma 0.2 and (1.2).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): From the proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) of Theorem 2.1 it follows that $d_{2\gamma+1}(H) = 2$. Consequently by using the hypothesis on $m_{2\gamma+1}$, and again from the mentioned proof we have that all the gaps of H belong to $[2, m_\gamma]$. Since $m_\gamma \leq 4\gamma$ then we have $\rho(H) \leq \gamma$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Since $g \geq 4\gamma + 1 \geq 4\rho(H) + 1$, the proof follows from (E_1) and (E'_2) (see §0). $\square$

Proof. (Theorem 2.3). (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Similar to the proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) of Theorem 2.1 (here we need $g \geq 4\gamma + 3$ (resp. $g \geq 4\gamma + 4$) if g is odd (resp. even)).

Before proving the other implications we remark that $g \leq 3r - 1$: in fact, if $g \geq 3r$ we would have $g \leq 6\gamma + 6$ (resp. $g \geq 6\gamma + 3$) provided g even (resp. odd) - a contradiction.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let g even and suppose that $m_{r+1} = g - 1$. Then by Corollary 2.6 we would have $2g - 2 = 2m_{r+1} \geq m_{3r+2}$ and hence $g - 1 \geq 3r + 2$. This contradicts the previous remark.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): We claim that $d_r(H) = 2$. Suppose that $d_r(H) \geq 3$. Then we would have $g - 1 \geq m_r \geq m_1 + 3(r - 1) \geq 3r - 1$, which contradicts the previous remark. Now suppose that $d_r(H) = 1$. Then by Corollary 2.6 we would have

$$2g - 2 \geq m_r \geq m_{3r-1},$$

which again contradicts the previous remark.

Thus the number of even gaps of H in $[2, g - 1]$ is γ , and $m_\gamma \leq 4\gamma$. Let ℓ be an even gap of H . As in the proof of the Claim in Theorem 2.1 here we also have that $\ell < m_\gamma$. Now the proof follows. $\square$

Proof. (Theorem 2.4). (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): By Theorem 2.3 and since $t \leq \gamma$ we have $g-2 = m_{g/2-t-1}$ or $g-1 = m_{(g-1)/2-t}$. This implies (ii). The implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is trivial.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): As in the proof of Theorem 2.3 we obtain $d_r(H) = 2$. Then the number of even gaps of H in $[2, g-1]$ is at most γ . We claim that all the even gaps of H belong to that interval. For suppose there exists an even gap $\ell > g-1$. Choose ℓ the smallest one and consider the even gaps $\ell - m_1 < \dots < \ell - m_r \leq g-1$. Then $r \leq \gamma$ which yields to $g \leq 4\gamma + 2$, a contradiction. Consequently $\rho(H) \leq \gamma$.

The implication (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows from Theorem 2.2. $\square$

Remark 2.9. The hypothesis on the genus in the above theorems is sharp. To see this let $\gamma \geq 0$ an integer, and let X be the curve defined by the equation

$$y^4 = \prod_{j=1}^I (x - a_j),$$

where the a_j 's are pairwise distinct elements of a field k , $I = 4\gamma + 3$ if γ is odd; $I = 4\gamma + 5$ otherwise. Let P be the unique point over $x = \infty$. Then $H(P) = \langle 4, I \rangle$ and so $g(H(P)) = 6\gamma + 3$ (resp. $6\gamma + 6$), $m_{2\gamma+1}(H(P)) = 6\gamma + 2$ (resp. $m_{2\gamma+2}(H(P)) = 6\gamma + 5$), and $\rho(H(P)) = 2\gamma + 1$ (resp. $\rho(H(P)) = 2\gamma + 2$) provided γ odd (resp. γ even).

3. WEIGHT OF SEMIGROUPS

3.1. Bounding the weight. Let H be a semigroup of genus g . Set $m_j = m_j(H)$ for each j and $\rho = \rho(H)$ (see (0.1)). Due to $m_g = 2g$ (see (1.1)), the weight $w(H)$ of H can be computed by

$$(3.1) \quad w(H) = \frac{3g^2 + g}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^g m_j.$$

Consequently the problem of bounding $w(H)$ is equivalent to the problem of bounding

$$S(H) := \sum_{j=1}^g m_j.$$

If $\rho = 0$, then we have $m_i = 2i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, g$. In particular we have $w(H) = \binom{g}{2}$. Let $\rho \geq 1$ (or equivalently $f_1 \geq 4$). Then by (1.3) we have

$$(3.2) \quad S(H) = \sum_{f \in \tilde{H}, f \leq g} 2f + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} u_i,$$

where

$$\tilde{H} := \{f/2 : f \in H, f \text{ even}\}.$$

Lemma 3.1.1. *With the notation of §1 we have:*

- (i) *If $f_1 = 4$, then $f_i = 4i$ for $i = 1, \dots, \rho$.*
- (ii) *If $f_1 \geq 6$, then*

$$f_i \geq 4i + 2 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \rho - 2, \quad f_{\rho-1} \geq 4\rho - 4, \quad f_{\rho} = 4\rho.$$

- (iii) *$f_i \leq 2\rho + 2i$ for each i .*
- (iv) *$2g - 4j + 1 \leq u_j \leq 2g - 2j + 1$, for $j = 1, \dots, \rho$.*

Proof. By (1.2), we have

$$\tilde{H} = \left\{ \frac{f_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{f_\rho}{2} \right\} \cup \{4\rho + i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Thus $\tilde{H}$ is a semigroup of genus ρ . Then (i) is due to the fact that $f_1/2 = 2$ and (ii) follows from (1.1). Statement (iii) follows from (1.4).

(iv) The upper bound follows from $u_1 \leq 2g - 1$. To prove the lower bound we proceed by induction on i . The case $i = \rho$ follows from (1.5). Suppose that $u_i \geq 2g - 4i + 1$ but $u_{i-1} < 2g - 4(i-1) + 1$, for $1 < i \leq \rho$. Then $u_i = 2g - 4i + 1$, $u_{i-1} = 2g - 4i + 3$, and there exists an odd gap ℓ of H such that $\ell > u_{i-1}$. Take the smallest ℓ with such a property. Set $I := [\ell - u_{i-1}, \ell - u_\rho] \subseteq [2, 4\rho - 2]$ and let t be the number of non-gaps of H belonging to I . By the election of ℓ we have that $\ell - u_{i-1} < f_1$. Now, since $\ell - u_j \in I$ for $j = i-1, \dots, \rho$ we also have that

$$\frac{u_{i-1} - u_\rho}{2} + 1 \geq t + \rho - (i-1) + 1.$$

Thus $u_\rho \leq 2g - 2\rho - 2i - 2t + 1$. Now, since $u_\rho + f_{t+1} > u_{i-1}$ and since by statement (iii) $f_{t+i-1} \leq 2\rho + 2t + 2i - 2$, we have that the odd non-gaps $u_\rho + f_{t+1}, \dots, u_\rho + f_{t+i-1}$ belong to $[\ell + 2, 2g - 1]$. This is a contradiction because H would have $(\rho - i + 2) + (i - 1) = \rho + 1$ odd non-gaps. $\square$

Lemma 3.1.2. *Let H be a semigroup of genus g . With notation as in §1, we have*

- (i) $w(H) \geq \binom{g-2\rho}{2}$. Equality holds if and only if $f_1 = 2\rho + 2$ and $u_\rho = 2g - 2\rho + 1$.
- (ii) If $g \geq 2\rho$, then $w(H) \leq \binom{g-2\rho}{2} + 2\rho^2$. Equality holds if and only if $H = \langle 4, 4\rho, 2g - 4\rho + 1 \rangle$.
- (iii) If $g \leq 2\rho - 1$, then $w(H) \leq \binom{g+2\rho}{2} - 4g - 6\rho^2 + 8\rho$.

Proof. (i) By (3.1) we have to show that

$$S(H) \leq g^2 + (2\rho + 1)g - 2\rho^2 - \rho,$$

and that the equality holds if and only if $f_1 = 2\rho + 2$ and $u_\rho = 2g - 2\rho + 1$. Both the above statements follow from Lemma 3.1.1 (i), (iv).

(ii) Here we have to show that

$$(\dagger) \quad S(H) \geq g^2 + (2\rho + 1)g - 4\rho^2 - \rho,$$

and that equality holds if and only if $H = \langle 4, 4\rho + 2, 2g - 4\rho + 1 \rangle$.

Since $g \geq 2\rho$ by (1.2) we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad S(H) = \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} (f_i(H) + u_i(H)) + g^2 + g - 4\rho^2 - 2\rho.$$

Thus we obtain $(\dagger)$ by means of Lemma 3.1.1 (ii), (iii) and (iv). Moreover the equality in $(\dagger)$ holds if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{\rho} (f_i + u_i) = 2\rho g + \rho$. Then the second part of (ii) also follows from the above mentioned results.

(iii) In this case, due to the proof of Lemma 1.1, instead of equation (3.3) we have

$$(3.4) \quad S(H) = \sum_{i=1}^{2g-3\rho} f_i + \sum_{i=2g-3\rho+1}^{g-\rho} (2i + 2\rho) + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} u_i.$$

We will see in the next remark that in this case we have $f_1 \geq 6$. Thus by using Lemmas 1.2 and 3.1.1 (iii), (iv) we obtain

$$S(H) \geq 4\rho^2 - (2g + 7)\rho + g^2 + 5g,$$

from where it follows the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.1.3. (i) If $f_1 = 4$, then $g \geq 2\rho$. This follows from the fact the biggest even gap of H is $4(\rho - 1) + 2$. Moreover, one can determinate $u_\rho, \dots, u_1$ as follows: let $J \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the inequalities below

$$\max\{1, \frac{3\rho + 2 - g}{2}\} \leq J \leq \min\{\rho + 1, \frac{g - \rho + 3}{2}\},$$

provided g even, otherwise replace J by $\rho - J + 2$; then

$$\begin{aligned} \{u_\rho, \dots, u_1\} &= \{2g - 4\rho + 4J - 7 + 4i : i = 1, \dots, \rho - J + 1\} \\ &\cup \{2g - 4J + 3 + 4i : i = 1, \dots, J - 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

(see [Ko, §3], [To, Remarks 2.5]). Consequently from (3.3) and (3.1) we obtain

$$w(H) = \binom{g - 2\rho}{2} + 2\rho^2 + 4\rho + 6 + 4J^2 - (4\rho + 10)J.$$

In particular we have

$$\binom{g - 2\rho}{2} + \rho^2 - \rho \leq w(H) \leq \binom{g - 2\rho}{2} + 2\rho^2.$$

Let C be an integer such that $0 \leq 2C \leq \rho^2 + \rho$. Then $w(H) = \binom{g - 2\rho}{2} + \rho^2 - \rho + 2C$ if and only if $4 + 32C$ is a square. The lower bound is attained if and only if $H = \langle 4, 4\rho + 2, 2g - 2\rho + 1, 2g - 2\rho + 3 \rangle$.

(ii) Let $u_\rho = 3$. Then from (1.5) and Lemma 1.2 we find that $g \in \{2\rho - 1, 2\rho, 2\rho + 1\}$. Moreover, in this case one can also obtain an explicit formula for $w(H)$ ([K1, Lemma 6]). Let $g \equiv r \pmod{3}$, $r = 0, 1, 2$ and let s be an integer such that $0 \leq s \leq (g - r)/3$. If $r = 0, 1$ (resp. $r = 2$), then

$$w(H) = \frac{g(g - 1)}{3} + 3s^2 - gs - s \leq \frac{g(g - 1)}{3}$$

resp.

$$w(H) = \frac{g(g - 2)}{3} + 3s^2 - gs + s \leq \frac{g(g - 2)}{3}.$$

If $r = 0, 1$ (resp. $r = 2$), equality occurs if and only if $H = \langle 3, g + 1 \rangle$ (resp. $H = \langle 3, g + 2, 2g + 1 \rangle$).

Let $g \leq 2\rho - 1$. The way how we bound from below equation (3.4) is far away from being sharp. We do not know an analogous to the lower bound of Lemma 3.1.1 (iv) in this case. However, for certain range of g the bounds in 3.1.3 (ii) are the best possible:

Lemma 3.1.4. *Let H be a semigroup of genus $g \geq 11$, $r \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ such that $g \equiv r \pmod{6}$. Let ρ be the number of even gaps of H . If*

$$\rho > \begin{cases} \frac{g-5}{6} & \text{if } r = 5 \\ \frac{g-r}{6} - 1 & \text{if } r \neq 5, \end{cases}$$

then

$$w(H) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{g(g-2)}{3} & \text{if } r = 2, 5 \\ \frac{g(g-1)}{3} & \text{if } r = 1, 3, 4, 6. \end{cases}$$

If $r = 2, 5$ (resp. $r \notin \{2, 5\}$) equality above holds if and only if $H = \langle 3, g+2, 2g+1 \rangle$ (resp. $H = \langle 3, g+1 \rangle$).

Proof. We assume $g \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$; the other cases can be proven in a similar way. By Remark 3.1.3 (ii) we can assume $u_1 > 3$, and then by (3.1) we have to prove that

$$(*) \quad S(H) > \frac{7g^2 + 7g}{6}.$$

Now, since $\rho > (g-5)/6$, by Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 0.2 we must have

$$m_{\frac{g+1}{3}} = m_{\frac{g-1}{2} - \frac{g-5}{6}} \geq g.$$

(A) Let $S' := \sum_i m_i$, $(g+1)/3 \leq i \leq g$: Define

$$F := \{i \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{g+1}{3} \leq i \leq g, m_i \leq 2i + \frac{g-5}{3}\},$$

and let $f := \min(F)$. Then $f \geq (g+4)/3$, $m_f = 2f + \frac{g-5}{3}$, $m_{f-1} = 2f + \frac{g-8}{3}$. Thus for $g \geq i \geq f$, $d_i = 1$ and hence by Corollary 2.6, $2m_i \geq m_{3i-1} = g + 3i - 1$. In particular, $f \geq (g+7)/3$. Now we bound S' in three steps:

Step (i). $(g+1)/3 \leq i \leq f-1$: By definition of f we have that $m_i \geq 2i + \frac{g-2}{3}$ and hence

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_i m_i \geq f^2 + \frac{g-5}{3}f - \frac{2g^2 - 2g - 4}{9}.$$

Step (ii). $f \leq i \leq (6f - g - 7)/3$: Here we have that $m_i \geq m_f + i - f = i + f + \frac{g-5}{3}$. Hence

$$\sum_i m_i \geq \frac{5}{2}f^2 - \frac{4g+37}{6}f - \frac{g^2 - 13g - 68}{18}.$$

Step (iii). $(6f - g - 4)/3 \leq i \leq g$: Here we have $m_i + m_{i+1} \geq g + 3i + 1$ for i odd, $6f - g - 4 \leq i \leq g - 2$. Since $m_g = 2g$ then we have

$$\sum_i m_i \geq -3f^2 + 6f + \frac{4g^2 + 2g - 8}{3}.$$

(B) Let $S'' := \sum_i m_i$, $1 \leq i \leq (g-2)/3$: By Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 0.2 we have that $m_i \geq 3i$ for i odd, $i = 3, \dots, (g-2)/3$. First we notice that for i odd and $3 \leq i \leq (g-8)/3$ we must have $m_{i+1} \geq 3i + 3$. Otherwise we would have $d_{i+1} = 1$ and hence by Corollary 2.6 and (1.1) we would have $2m_{i+1} \geq m_{3i+2} \geq 6i + 5$, a contradiction.

Claim. Let i odd and $3 \leq i \leq (g-8)/3$. If $m_i = 3i$ or $m_{i+1} = 3i + 3$, then $m_1 = 3$.

Proof. (Claim). It is enough to show that $d_i = 3$ or $d_{i+1} = 3$. Suppose that $m_i = 3i$. Since i is odd, d_i is one or three. Suppose $d_i = 1$. Then by Corollary 2.6 we have $6i = 2m_i \geq m_{3i-1}$ and hence $6i = 2m_i = m_{3i-1}$. Let $\ell \in G(H)$. Then $\ell \geq m_{3i-1} + 3$. In fact if $\ell > m_{3i-1} + 3$, by choosing the smallest ℓ with such a property we would have $3i + 2$ gaps in $[1, 6i]$ namely, $1, 2, 3, \ell - m_{3i-1}, \dots, \ell - m_1$, a contradiction. Then it follows that $g \leq 3i + 1 + 3 = 3i + 4$ or $g + 2 \leq 3i + 4$.

Now suppose that $m_{i+1} = 3i + 3$; as in the previous proof here we also have that $d_{i+1} > 1$. Suppose that $d_{i+1} = 2$. Then $m_1 > 3$ and hence $m_i = 3i + 1$. Since we know that $m_{i+2} \geq 3i + 6$, then the even number $\ell = 3i + 5$ is a gap of H . Then we would find $2i + 2$ even numbers in $[2, 3i + 3]$, namely $m_1, \dots, m_{i+1}$, and $\ell - m_{i+1}, \ell - m_1$, a contradiction. Hence $d_{i+1} = 3$ and then $m_1 = 3$. $\square$

Then, since we assume $u_1 > 3$, we have $m_i + m_{i+1} \geq 6i + 5$ for i odd $3 \leq i \leq (g - 8)/3$, $m_{\frac{g-2}{3}} \geq g - 2$, and so

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{(g-2)/3} m_i &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{(g-11)/6} (12j + 10) + m_1 + m_2 + m_{\frac{g-2}{3}} \\ &\geq \frac{g^2 + g - 78}{6} + m_1 + m_2. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up (i), (ii), (iii) and (B) we get

$$S(H) \geq \frac{3f^2 - (2g + 11)f}{6} + \frac{22g^2 + 32g - 206}{18} + m_1 + m_2.$$

The function $\Gamma(x) := 3x^2 - (2g + 11)x$ attains its minimum for $x = (2g + 11)/6 < (g + 7)/3 \leq f$. Suppose that $f \geq (g + 13)/3$. Then we find

$$S(H) \geq \frac{7g^2 + 7g - 60}{6} + m_1 + m_2.$$

We claim that $m_1 + m_2 > 11$. Otherwise we would have $m_3 = m_1 + m_2 = 10$ which is impossible. From the claim we get (*).

In all the computations below we use the fact that $2g \leq (m - 1)(n - 1)$ whenever $m, n \in H$ with $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ (see e.g. Jenkins [J]).

Now suppose that $f = (g + 10)/3$. Here we find

$$S(H) \geq \frac{7g^2 + 7g - 72}{6} + m_1 + m_2.$$

Suppose that $m_1 + m_2 \leq 12$ (otherwise the above computation imply (*)). If $g > 11$, then $m_4 \geq 13$ and so $m_3 = m_1 + m_2 \in \{9, 11, 12\}$. If $m_1 + m_2 = 9$, then $g \leq 6$; if $m_1 + m_2 = 11$ then $g \leq 10$; if $m_1 + m_2 = 12$ then $g \leq 11$ or $m_1 = 4, m_2 = 8$. Let s denote the first odd non-gap of H . Then $2g \leq 3(s - 1)$ and so $s > (2g + 2)/3$. In the interval $[4, (2g + 2)/3]$ does not exist $h \in H$ such that $h \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$: In fact if such a h exists then we would have $4\rho + 2 \leq (2g - 4)/3$ or $\rho \leq (g - 5)/6$. Consequently $m_3 = 12, \dots, m_{(g+1)/6} = (2g + 2)/3$. Thus we can improve the computation in (3.6) by summing it up $\sum_{i=1}^j (4i + 1)$, where $j = (g - 5)/12$ or $j = (g - 11)/12$. Then we get (*). If $g = 11$, the first seven non-gaps are $\{4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16\}$ or $\{5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16\}$. In both cases the computation in (3.5) increases at least by one, and so we obtain (*).

Finally let $f = (g + 7)/3$. Here we find

$$S(H) \geq \frac{7g^2 + 7g - 78}{6} + m_1 + m_2,$$

and we have to analyze the cases $m_1 + m_2 = \{9, 11, 12, 13\}$. This can be done as in the previous case. This finish the proof of Lemma 3.1.4. $\square$

3.2. The equivalence $(P_1) \Leftrightarrow (P_4)$. We are going to characterize γ -hyperelliptic semigroups by means of weights of semigroups. We begin with the following result, which has been proved by Garcia for $\gamma \in \{1, 2\}$ [G, Lemmas 8 and 10].

Theorem 3.2.1. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ and H a semigroup whose genus g satisfies (0.2). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) H is t -hyperelliptic for some $t \in \{0, \dots, \gamma\}$.
- (ii) $w(H) \geq \binom{g-2\gamma}{2}$.

Theorem 3.2.2. *Let γ , H and g be as in Theorem 3.2.1. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) H is γ -hyperelliptic.
- (ii) $\binom{g-2\gamma}{2} \leq w(H) \leq \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + 2\gamma^2$.
- (iii) $\binom{g-2\gamma}{2} \leq w(H) < \binom{g-2\gamma+2}{2}$.

Proof. (Theorem 3.2.1). (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): By Lemma 0.2 and Lemma 3.1.2 (i) we have $w(H) \geq \binom{g-2t}{2}$. This implies (ii).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Suppose that H is not t -hyperelliptic for any $t \in \{0, \dots, \gamma\}$. We are going to prove that $w(H) < \binom{g-2\gamma}{2}$, which by (3.1) is equivalent to prove that:

$$(*) \quad \sum_{i=1}^g m_i > g^2 + (2\gamma + 1)g - 2\gamma^2 - \gamma.$$

We notice that by Lemma 0.2 we must have $\rho \geq \gamma + 1$.

Case 1: g satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.1.4. From that lemma we have $S(H) \geq (7g^2 + 5g)/3$ and then we get $(*)$ provided

$$g > \bar{\gamma} := 12\gamma + 1 + \sqrt{96\gamma^2 + 1}.$$

We notice that $\gamma^2 + 4\gamma + 3 \geq \bar{\gamma}$ if $\gamma \geq 7$. For $\gamma = 1, 4, 6$ we need respectively $g > 11$, $g > 44$ and $g > 65$. By noticing that 11, 44 and 65 are congruent to 2 modulus 3, we can use $g = 11$, $g = 44$ and $g = 65$ because in these cases $S(H) \geq (7g^2 + 7g)/3$. For the other values of γ we obtain the bounds of (0.2).

Case 2: g does not satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 3.1.4. Here we have $g \geq 6\rho + 5$. From (3.3) and Lemma 3.1.1 we have $S(H) \geq g^2 + (2\rho + 1)g - 4\rho^2 - \rho$. The function $\Gamma(\rho) := (2g - 1)\rho - 4\rho^2$ satisfies

$$\Gamma(\rho) \geq \Gamma(\gamma + 1) = (2\gamma + 2)g - 4\gamma^2 - 9\gamma - 5,$$

for $\gamma + 1 \leq \rho \leq [(2g - 1)/4] - \gamma - 1$. Thus we obtain condition $(*)$ provided $g \geq \gamma^2 + 4\gamma + 3$. $\square$

Remark 3.2.3. Let H be a semigroup of genus g , r the number defined in Lemma 3.1.4. Put $c := (g - 5)/6$ if $r = 5$, and $c := (g - r)/6 - 1$ otherwise.

From the proof of Case 2 of the above result we see that $S(H) \geq g^2 + 3g - 5$ whenever $1 \leq \rho(H) \leq (g - 3)/2$. Hence this result and Lemma 3.1.4 imply

$$w(H) \leq \begin{cases} g^2 - 5g + 10/2 & \text{if } \rho(H) \leq c \\ \min\{(g^2 - 5g + 10)/2, (g - 1)g/3\} & \text{if } c < \rho(H) \leq (g - 3)/2 \\ (g - 1)g/3 & \text{if } \rho(H) > (g - 3)/2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (Theorem 3.2.2). (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows from Lemma 3.1.2. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) follows from the hypothesis on g .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): By Theorem 3.2.1 we have that $H(P)$ is t -hyperelliptic for some $t \in \{0, \dots, \gamma\}$. Then by Lemma 3.1.2 and hypothesis we have

$$\binom{g-2\gamma+2}{2} > w(H) \geq \binom{g-2t}{2},$$

from where it follows that $t = \gamma$. $\square$

Remark 3.2.4. The hypothesis on g in the above two theorems is sharp:

(i) Let $\gamma \geq 7$ and consider $H := \langle 4, 4(\gamma+1), 2g - 4(\gamma+1) + 1 \rangle$ where g is an integer satisfying $\max\{4\gamma+4, \frac{\gamma^2+6\gamma-3}{2}\} < g \leq \gamma^2 + 4\gamma + 2$. Then H has genus g and $\rho(H) = \gamma + 1$. In particular H is not γ -hyperelliptic. By Lemma 3.1.2 (ii) we have $w(H) = \binom{g-2(\gamma+1)}{2} + 2(\gamma+1)^2$. Now it is easy to check that $w(H)$ satisfies Theorem 3.2.1 (ii) and Theorem 3.2.2 (iii).

(ii) Let $\gamma \leq 6$ and consider $H = \langle 3, g+1 \rangle$, where $g = 10, 22, 33, 43, 55, 64$ if $\gamma = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ respectively. H has genus g and it can be easily checked that H is not γ -hyperelliptic by means of inequality (1.5) and Lemma 1.1. Moreover $w(H) = g(g-1)/3$ (see Remark 3.1.3 (ii)). Now it is easy to check that $w(H)$ satisfies Theorem 3.2.1 (ii) and Theorem 3.2.1 (iii).

(iii) The semigroups considered in (i) and (ii) are also Weierstrass semigroups (see Komeda [Ko], MacLachlan [Mac, Thm. 4]).

3.3. Weierstrass weights. In this section we apply Theorem 3.2.2 in order to characterize double coverings of curves by means of Weierstrass weights. Specifically we strengthen [To, Theorem B] and hence all its corollaries. The basic references for the discussion below are Farkas-Kra [F-K, III.5] and Stöhr-Voloch [S-V, §1].

Let X be a non-singular, irreducible and projective algebraic curve of genus g over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p . Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ be the morphism induced by the canonical linear system on X . To any $P \in X$ we can associate the sequence $j_i(P)$ ($i = 0, \dots, g-1$) of intersection multiplicities at $\pi(P)$ of $\pi(X)$ with hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$. This sequence is the same for all but finitely many points (the so called Weierstrass points of X). These points are supported by a divisor $\mathcal{W}$ in such a way that the Weierstrass weight at P , $v_P(\mathcal{W})$, satisfies

$$v_P(\mathcal{W}) \geq w(P) := \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} (j_i(P) - \epsilon_i),$$

where $0 = \epsilon_0 < \dots < \epsilon_{g-1}$ is the sequence at a generic point. One has $j_i(P) \geq \epsilon_i$ for each i , and from the Riemann-Roch theorem follows that $G(P) := \{j_i(P) + 1 : i = 0, \dots, g-1\}$ is the set of gaps of a semigroup $H(P)$ of genus g (the so called Weierstrass semigroup at P). X is called *classical* if $\epsilon_i = i$ for each i (e.g. if $p = 0$ or $p > 2g - 2$). In this case we have $v_P(\mathcal{W}) = w_P(R)$ for each P , and the number $w(P)$ is just the weight of the semigroup $H(P)$ defined in §0. The following result strengthen [To, Thm.B]. The proof follows from [To, Thm.A], [To1, Thm.A], and Theorem 3.2.2.

Theorem 3.3.1. *Let X be a classical curve, and assume that g satisfies (0.2). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) X is a double covering of a curve of genus γ .
- (ii) There exists $P \in X$ such that

$$\binom{g-2\gamma}{2} \leq w(P) \leq \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + 2\gamma^2.$$

- (iii) There exists $P \in X$ such that

$$\binom{g-2\gamma}{2} \leq w(P) < \binom{g-2\gamma+2}{2}.$$

Remark 3.2.4 says that the bound for g above is the best possible. Further applications of §3.1 and §3.2 will be published elsewhere [To2].

REFERENCES

- [ACGH] Arbarello, E.; Cornalba, M.; Griffiths, P.A.; Harris, J.: “Geometry of algebraic curves” Vol I, Springer-Verlag, New York 1985.
- [Buch] Buchweitz, R.O.: “Über deformationem monomialer kurvensingularitäten und Weierstrasspunkte auf Riemannschen flächen”, Thesis, Hannover 1976.
- [Buch1] Buchweitz, R.O.: “On Zariski’s criterion for equisingularity and non-smoothable monomial curves”, Thèse, Paris VII 1981.
- [C] Castelnuovo, G.: *Ricerche di geometria sulle curve algebriche*, Atti. R. Acad. Sci. Torino **24** (1889), 196–223.
- [Dav] Davenport, H.: *On the addition of residue classes*, J. London Math. Soc. **10** (1935), 30–32.
- [Dav1] Davenport, H.: *A historical note*, J. London Math. Soc. **22** (1947), 110–101.
- [F-K] Farkas, H.M.; Kra, I.: “Riemann surfaces”, Grad. Texts in Math. **71** (second edition) Springer-Verlag 1992.
- [Fre] Freiman, G.A.: “Foundations of a structural theory of set addition”, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **37** AMS, 1973.
- [G] Garcia, A.: *Weights of Weierstrass points in double covering of curves of genus one or two*, Manuscripta Math. **55** (1986), 419–432.
- [J] Jenkins, J.A.: *Some remarks on Weierstrass points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **44**, (1974), 121–122.
- [K] Kato, T.: *On the order of a zero of the theta function*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. **28**, (1977), 390–407.
- [K1] Kato, T.: *Non-hyperelliptic Weierstrass points of maximal weight*, Math. Ann. **239**, (1979), 141–147.
- [K2] Kato, T.: *On criteria of $\tilde{g}$ -hyperellipticity*, Kodai Math. J. **2**, (1979), 275–285.
- [Kem] Kemperman, J.H.: *On small sumsets in an abelian group*, Acta Math. **103**, (1960), 63–88.
- [Ko] Komeda, J.: *On Weierstrass points whose first non-gaps are four*, J. Reine. Angew. Math. **341** (1983), 68–86.
- [Lan] Landau, E.: “Über einige neuere Fortschritte der additiven Zahlentheorie”, Cambridge Tracts 35, (second edition) 1964.
- [M] Mann, H.B.: “Addition theorems: The addition theorems of group theory and number theory”, Interscience, New York, 1965.
- [Mac] MacLachlan, C.: *Weierstrass points on compact Riemann surfaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **3** (1971), 722–724.
- [Oliv] Oliveira, G.: *Weierstrass semigroups and the canonical ideal of non-trigonal curves*, Manuscripta Math. **71** (1991), 431–450.
- [Pi] Pillai, S.S.: *On the addition of residue classes*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. A **7** (1938), 1–4.
- [R] Rathmann, J.: *The uniform position principle for curves in characteristic p* , Math. Ann. **276** (1987), 565–579.
- [S-V] Stöhr, K.O.; Voloch, J.F.: *Weierstrass points and curves over finite fields*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **52** (1986), 1–19.

- [To] Torres, F.: *Weierstrass points and double coverings of curves with application: Symmetric numerical semigroups which cannot be realized as Weierstrass semigroups*, Manuscripta Math. **83** (1994), 39–58.
- [To1] Torres, F.: *On certain N -sheeted coverings of curves and numerical semigroups which cannot be realized as Weierstrass semigroups*, Comm. Algebra **23** (11) (1995), 4211–4228.
- [To2] Torres, F.: *Constellation of Weierstrass points* (provisory title), in preparation.

ICTP, MATHEMATICS SECTION, P.O. BOX 586, 34100, TRIESTE - ITALY
E-mail address: `feto@ictp.trieste.it`